

Information Economics, Fall 2016

Paper Presentations

Instructor: Ling-Chieh Kung
Department of Information Management
National Taiwan University

On November 28 and December 5, students form eleven teams to present and review eleven papers. A team needs to be the *presenter* for one paper and *reviewer* for another paper. The presentation schedule is contained in the following table. The papers are on CEIBA.

Date	Order	Paper	Presenter	Reviewer
11/28	1	Tsay (1999)	6	11
	2	Cachon and Lariviere (2005)	9	3
	3	Moorthy (1984)	7	2
	4	Bhargava and Choudhary (2001)	8	1
	5	Karla and Li (2008)	10	4
12/5	6	Kamien and Tauman (1986)	1	5
	7	Kato (2004)	2	10
	8	Bakos and Brynjolfsson (1999)	4	9
	9	Hui et al. (2012)	5	6
	10	Moorthy and Srinivasan (1995)	3	7
	11	Jiang and Zhang (2011)	11	8

- To present a paper, a team has 25 minutes, including Q&A. Please introduce the paper's research questions, model setting, analysis, and major findings to the audience. Please assume that the audience have not read the paper. **Prepare any converter that you need.** If you need the instructor's help about converter, one letter grade will be taken off as a penalty. **Be in class in time.** Being late will get one letter grade off as a penalty or get the presentation canceled.
- To review a paper, a team needs to write an **up-to-four-page** paper summary. Please **type** your report; hand-written reports are not accepted. Please summarize the paper's research questions, model setting, and major findings without using any symbols. A paragraph about the thoughts after reading the paper should then be provided. Submit a hard copy of your summary by **9:20 AM** on the date that the paper is presented. Submissions late by one hour get one letter grade off as a penalty. Submissions late by more than one hour are not accepted.

Each of the presentation and summary counts for 10% of the semester grade. Please put efforts on them! The grades of the presentation depend on the understanding of the paper, clearness of the presentation, delivery of main insights, time control, and quality of the slides. The grades of the summary depend on the understanding of the paper, clearness of the presentation, delivery of main insights, and quality of the format. For the ID and members' names of each team, please see below.

Team ID	Member 1	Member 2	Member 3	Member 4	Member 5
1	陳信豪	陳君儒	劉育婷	莊日陞	虞翔皓
2	蕭昱偉	林芝仔	何宗霖	邱子軒	
3	周暉瑢	蕭友量	冷俊瑩	陳昀靖	
4	何書瑀	李建逸	林鑫宏	林麗杰	李韋萱
5	康育民	高頡生	戴美齡	曹峻寧	邱筠真
6	薛宜軒	宋欣馨	林佩穎		
7	林昉	楊佩蓉	張鑑霖	簡子翔	李昱賢
8	馬建原	顏甄霓	趙育萱	邱詩涵	陳俞均
9	侯舜元	陳品	楊其恆	陳主牧	廖寬璿
10	周良諺	蕭君揚	盧慶原	邱毅倫	
11	鄭安	胡斌	柯劭珩		