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## Data Compression

## Problem

Given a text (a sequence of characters), find an encoding for the characters that satisfies the prefix constraint and that minimizes the total number of bits needed to encode the text.

The prefix constraint states that the prefixes of an encoding of one character must not be equal to a complete encoding of another character.

Denote the characters by $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}$ and their frequencies by $f_{1}$, $f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}$. Given an encoding $E$ in which a bit string $s_{i}$ represents $c_{i}$, the length (number of bits) of the text encoded by using $E$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|s_{i}\right| \cdot f_{i}$.

## A Code Tree



Figure 6.17 The tree representation of encoding.

Source: [Manber 1989].

## A Huffman Tree



Figure 6.19 The Huffman tree for example 6.1.

Source: [Manber 1989]. (Frequencies: A: 5, B: 2, C: 3, D: 4, E: 10, F:1)

## Huffman Encoding

Algorithm Huffman_Encoding ( $S, f$ );
insert all characters into a heap $H$
according to their frequencies;
while $H$ not empty do
if $H$ contains only one character $X$ then make $X$ the root of $T$
else
delete $X$ and $Y$ with lowest frequencies; from $H$;
create $Z$ with a frequency equal to the sum of the frequencies of $X$ and $Y$; insert $Z$ into $H$; make $X$ and $Y$ children of $Z$ in $T$
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What is its time complexity?

## String Matching

## Problem

Given two strings $A\left(=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)$ and $B\left(=b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{m}\right)$, find the first occurrence (if any) of $B$ in $A$. In other words, find the smallest $k$ such that, for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $a_{k-1+i}=b_{i}$.

A substring of a string $A$ is a consecutive sequence of characters $a_{i} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{j}$ from $A$.

## Straightforward String Matching



Figure 6.20 An example of a straightforward string matching.

Source: [Manber 1989].

## Straightforward String Matching (cont.)

What is the time complexity?

## Straightforward String Matching (cont.)

## Straightforward String Matching (cont.)

## Straightforward String Matching (cont.)

What is the time complexity?
数 $B\left(=b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{m}\right)$ may be compared against

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} \\
& \text { w } a_{2} a_{3} \cdots a_{m+1} \\
& \text { w } \cdots \text {, and } \\
& \text { w } a_{n-m+1} a_{n-m+2} \cdots a_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

\% For example, $A=x x x x \ldots x x x y$ and $B=x x x y$.
So, the time complexity is $O(m \times n)$.
We will exam the cause of defficiency.

- We then study an efficient algorithm, which is linear-time with a preprocessing stage.


## Matching Against Itself

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
B= & y & x & y & y & x & y & x & y & x & x \\
& x & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & & & & \\
& & x & y & x & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\
& & & x & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \\
& & & & x & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\
& & & & & x & y & x & y & y & \\
& & & & & & x & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\
& & & & & & & x & y & x &
\end{array}
$$

Figure 6.21 Matching the pattern against itself.

Source: [Manber 1989].

## The Values of next

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
i= & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
B= & x & y & x & y & y & x & y & x & y & x & x \\
\text { next }= & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3
\end{array}
$$

Figure 6.22 The values of next.

Source: [Manber 1989].
The value of next[j] tells the length of the longest proper prefix that is equal to a suffix of $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{j}$.

## The KMP Algorithm

Algorithm String_Match ( $A, n, B, m$ ); begin

$$
j:=1 ; \quad i:=1 ;
$$

Start :=0;

$$
\text { while Start }=0 \text { and } i \leq n \text { do }
$$

$$
\text { if } B[j]=A[i] \text { then }
$$

$$
j:=j+1 ; \quad i:=i+1
$$

else

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j:=\operatorname{next}[j]+1 ; \\
& \text { if } j=0 \text { then }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
j:=1 ; i:=i+1
$$

if $j=m+1$ then Start $:=i-m$
end

## The KMP Algorithm (cont.)



Figure 6.24 Computing next(i).

Source: [Manber 1989].

## The KMP Algorithm (cont.)

Algorithm Compute_Next $(B, m)$; begin
$\operatorname{next}[1]:=-1 ; \operatorname{next}[2]:=0$;
for $i:=3$ to $m$ do
$j:=\operatorname{next}[i-1]+1$;
while $B[i-1] \neq B[j]$ and $j>0$ do

$$
j:=\operatorname{next}[j]+1 ;
$$

next[i]:=j
end

## The KMP Algorithm (cont.)

What is its time complexity?
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What is its time complexity?
Because of backtracking, $a_{i}$ may be compared against
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However, for these to happen, each of $a_{i-j+2}, a_{i-j+3}, \ldots, a_{i-1}$ was compared against the corresponding character in $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{j-1}$ just once.

## The KMP Algorithm (cont.)
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## The KMP Algorithm (cont.)

What is its time complexity?
Because of backtracking, $a_{i}$ may be compared against
(w) $b_{j}$,
(w) $b_{j-1}$,
(... , and
(w) $b_{2}$

However, for these to happen, each of $a_{i-j+2}, a_{i-j+3}, \ldots, a_{i-1}$ was compared against the corresponding character in $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{j-1}$ just once.
, We may re-assign the costs of comparing $a_{i}$ against $b_{j-1}, b_{j-2}, \ldots, b_{2}$ to those of comparing $a_{i-j+2} a_{i-j+3} \ldots a_{i-1}$ against $b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{j-1}$.
Every $a_{i}$ is incurred the cost of at most two comparisons.
So, the time complexity is $O(n)$.

## String Editing

## Problem

Given two strings $A\left(=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)$ and $B\left(=b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{m}\right)$, find the minimum number of changes required to change $A$ character by character such that it becomes equal to $B$.

Three types of changes (or edit steps) allowed: (1) insert, (2) delete, and (3) replace.

## String Editing (cont.)

Let $C(i, j)$ denote the minimum cost of changing $A(i)$ to $B(j)$, where $A(i)=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{i}$ and $B(j)=b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{j}$.

$$
C(i, j)=\min \begin{cases}C(i-1, j)+1 & \left(\text { deleting } a_{i}\right) \\ C(i, j-1)+1 & \left(\text { inserting } b_{j}\right) \\ C(i-1, j-1)+1 & \left(a_{i} \rightarrow b_{j}\right) \\ C(i-1, j-1) & \left(a_{i}=b_{j}\right)\end{cases}
$$

## String Editing (cont.)



Figure 6.26 The dependencies of $C(i, j)$.

Source: [Manber 1989].

## String Editing (cont.)

Algorithm Minimum_Edit_Distance ( $A, n, B, m$ );
for $i:=0$ to $n$ do $C[i, 0]:=i$;
for $j:=1$ to $m$ do $C[0, j]:=j$;
for $i:=1$ to $n$ do
for $j:=1$ to $m$ do
$x:=C[i-1, j]+1 ;$
$y:=C[i, j-1]+1$;
if $a_{i}=b_{j}$ then

$$
z:=C[i-1, j-1]
$$

else

$$
z:=C[i-1, j-1]+1 ;
$$

$$
C[i, j]:=\min (x, y, z)
$$

