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- Branch-and-Bound


## Principles of Dynamic Programming

Property of Optimal Substructure (Principle of Optimality): An optimal solution to a problem contains optimal solutions to its subproblems.

- A particular subproblem or subsubproblem typically recurs while one tries different decompositions of the original problem.
- To reduce running time, optimal solutions to subproblems are computed only once and stored (in an array) for subsequent uses.


## Development by Dynamic Programming

1. Characterize the structure of an optimal solution.
2. Recursively define the value of an optimal solution.
3. Compute the value of an optimal solution in a bottom-up fashion.
4. Construct an optimal solution from computed information.

## Matrix-Chain Multiplication

## Problem

Given a chain $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n}$ of matrices where $A_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_{i}$, fully parenthesize (i.e., find a way to evaluate) the product $A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n}$ such that the number of scalar multiplications is minimum.
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- To evaluate $A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n}$, one first has to evaluate $A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{k}$ and $A_{k+1} A_{k+2} \cdots A_{n}$ for some $k$ and then multiply the two resulting matrices.
- An optimal way for evaluating $A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n}$ must contain optimal ways for evaluating $A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{k}$ and $A_{k+1} A_{k+2} \cdots A_{n}$ for some $k$.


## Matrix-Chain Multiplication (cont.)

Let $m[i, j]$ be the minimum number of scalar multiplications needed to compute $A_{i} A_{i+1} \cdots A_{j}$, where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

$$
m[i, j]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i=j \\ \min _{i \leq k<j}\left\{m[i, k]+m[k+1, j]+p_{i-1} p_{k} p_{j}\right\} & \text { if } i<j\end{cases}
$$

## Matrix-Chain Multiplication (cont.)

Algorithm Matrix_Chain_Order(n, $p$ ); begin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i:=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& m[i, i]:=0 ; \\
& \text { for } I:=2 \text { to } n \text { do }\{l \text { is the chain length }\} \\
& \text { for } i:=1 \text { to }(n-I+1) \text { do } \\
& j:=i+I-1 ; \\
& m[i, j]:=\infty ; \\
& \quad \text { for } k:=i \text { to }(j-1) \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { if } m[i, k]+m[k+1, j]+p[i-1] p[k] p[j]<m[i, j] \text { then } \\
& \quad m[i, j]:=m[i, k]+m[k+1, j]+p[i-1] p[k] p[j]
\end{aligned}
$$

end

## Recursive Implementation

```
Algorithm Recursive_Matrix_Chain(p,i,j);
begin
    if i=j then return 0;
    m[i,j]:= 吕
    for k:= i to (j-1) do
        q:= Recursive_Matrix_Chain(p,i,k)+
                Recursive_Matrix_Chain(p,k+1,j)+p[i-1]p[k]p[j];
    if }q<m[i,j]\mathrm{ then
        m[i,j]:= q;
    return m[i,j]
end
```


## Recursive Implementation (cont.)



Figure: The recursion tree for the computation of
Recursive_Matrix_Chain $(p, 1,4)$. The computations performed in a shaded subtree are replaced by a table lookup.

Source: redrawn from [Cormen et al. 2006, Figure 15.5].

## Recursion with Memoization

Algorithm Memoized_Matrix_Chain $(n, p)$; begin

for $i:=1$ to $n$ do<br>for $j:=i$ to $n$ do $m[i, j]:=\infty ;$

return Lookup_Matrix_Chain(p, i, n) end

## Recursion with Memoization (cont.)

Function Lookup_Matrix_Chain $(p, i, j)$; begin
if $m[i, j]<\infty$ then return $m[i, j]$;
if $i=j$ then

$$
m[i, j]:=0 ;
$$

else

$$
\text { for } k:=i \text { to }(j-1) \text { do }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q:= \text { Lookup_Matrix_Chain }(p, i, k)+ \\
& \text { Lookup_Matrix_Chain }(p, k+1, j)+p[i-1] p[k] p[j] ; \\
& \text { if } q<m[i, j] \text { then } \\
& m[i, j]:=q
\end{aligned}
$$

return $m[i, j]$
end

## Single-Source Shortest Paths

## Problem

Given a weighted directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with no negative-weight cycles and a vertex $v$, find (the lengths of) the shortest paths from $v$ to all other vertices.

Notice that edges with negative weights are permitted; so, Dijkstra's algorithm does not work here.
A shortest path from $v$ to any other vertex $u$ contains at most $n-1$ edges.
A shortest path from $v$ to $u$ with at most $k(>1)$ edges is either (1) a known shortest path from $v$ to $u$ with at most $k-1$ edges or (2) composed of a shortest path from $v$ to $u^{\prime}$ with at most $k-1$ edges and the edge from $u^{\prime}$ to $u$, for some $u^{\prime}$.

## Single-Source Shortest Paths (cont.)

Denote by $D^{\prime}(u)$ the length of a shortest path from $v$ to $u$ containing at most / edges; particularly, $D^{n-1}(u)$ is the length of a shortest path from $v$ to $u$ (with no restrictions).

$$
D^{1}(u)= \begin{cases}\text { length }(v, u) & \text { if }(v, u) \in E \\ 0 & \text { if } u=v \\ \infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\prime}(u)= & \min \left\{D^{\prime-1}(u), \min _{\left(u^{\prime}, u\right) \in E}\left\{D^{\prime-1}\left(u^{\prime}\right)+\text { length }\left(u^{\prime}, u\right)\right\}\right\}, \\
& 2 \leq I \leq n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Single-Source Shortest Paths (cont.)

Algorithm Single_Source_Shortest_Paths(length); begin
$D[v]:=0 ;$
for all $u \neq v$ do
if $(v, u) \in E$ then
$D[u]:=\operatorname{length}(v, u)$
else $D[u]:=\infty$;
for $k:=2$ to $n-1$ do
for all $u \neq v$ do
for all $u^{\prime}$ such $\left(u^{\prime}, u\right) \in E$ do

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { if } D\left[u^{\prime}\right]+\text { length }\left[u^{\prime}, u\right]<D[u] \text { then } \\
D[u]:=D\left[u^{\prime}\right]+\text { length }\left[u^{\prime}, u\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

end

## All-Pairs Shortest Paths

## Problem

Given a weighted directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with no negative-weight cycles, find (the lengths of) the shortest paths between all pairs of vertices.

Consider a shortest path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ and an arbitrary intermediate vertex $v_{k}$ (if any) on this path.

- The subpath from $v_{i}$ to $v_{k}$ must also be a shortest path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{k}$; analogously for the subpath from $v_{k}$ to $v_{j}$.


## All-Pairs Shortest Paths (cont.)

Index the vertices from 1 through $n$.
Denote by $W^{k}(i, j)$ the length of a shortest path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ going through no vertex of index greater than $k$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$; particularly, $W^{n}(i, j)$ is the length of a shortest path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$.

$$
W^{0}(i, j)= \begin{cases}\text { length }(i, j) & \text { if }(i, j) \in E \\ 0 & \text { if } i=j \\ \infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$$
W^{k}(i, j)=\min \left\{W^{k-1}(i, j), W^{k-1}(i, k)+W^{k-1}(k, j)\right\}, 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

## All-Pairs Shortest Paths (cont.)

Algorithm All_Pairs_Shortest_Paths(length); begin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i:=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& \text { for } j:=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& \text { if }(i, j) \in E \text { then } W[i, j]:=\operatorname{length}(i, j) \\
& \quad \text { else } W[i, j]:=\infty ; \\
& \text { for } i:=1 \text { to } n \text { do } W[i, i]:=0 ; \\
& \text { for } k:=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& \text { for } i:=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { for } j:=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { if } W[i, k]+W[k, j]<W[i, j] \text { then } \\
& \\
& \quad W[i, j]:=W[i, k]+W[k, j]
\end{aligned}
$$

end

