

Final

Note

This is an open-book exam. You may consult any books, papers, or notes, but discussion with other students or seeking outside help is strictly forbidden.

Problems

1. Prove, using *Natural Deduction* (in the sequent form) the validity of the following sequents:

- (a) (10 %) $\neg A \wedge \neg B \vdash \neg(A \vee B)$
- (b) (10 %) $A \wedge \exists x B \vdash \exists x(A \wedge B)$, if x does not occur free in A

2. (10 %) The first-order theory for *monoids* contains the following two axioms:

- $\forall a \forall b \forall c (a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c)$. (Associativity)
- $\forall a ((a \cdot e = a) \wedge (e \cdot a = a))$. (Identity)

Here e is a constant, called the identity, and \cdot is the binary operation. Let M denote the set of the two axioms. Prove using *Natural Deduction* the validity of the sequent $M \vdash \forall e' (\forall a ((a \cdot e' = a) \wedge (e' \cdot a = a)) \rightarrow e' = e)$, which says that the identity element of a monoid is unique. (Hint: a typical proof in algebra books is the following: assuming e' is an identity, $e' = e' \cdot e = e$.)

3. The program segment below solves the following problem: given a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of real numbers (not necessarily positive), represented as an array X , find a subsequence x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j (of consecutive elements) such that the sum of the numbers in it is maximum over all subsequences of consecutive elements. (Note: the program in fact gives only the sum, rather than the indices of the first and the last elements, of the maximum subsequence.)

```

G_Max := 0;
S_Max := 0;
i := 1;
while i ≤ n do
  if S_Max + x[i] > G_Max then
    S_Max := S_Max + x[i];
    G_Max := S_Max
  else if x[i] + S_Max > 0 then

```

```

         $S\_Max := S\_Max + x[i]$ 
    else  $S\_Max := 0$ 
    fi
fi
     $i := i + 1$ 
od

```

- (a) (10 %) Give a pair of pre and post-conditions that precisely describe the requirements for the program segment.
 - (b) (20 %) Annotate the program segment into a proof outline that clearly shows the correctness of the program.
4. (20 %) Prove the partial correctness of the following program using the Owicki-Gries method.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \{true\} \\
 acc := 0; \\
 Q_0, Q_1 := false, false; \\
 \left[\begin{array}{ll}
 Q_0 := true; & Q_1 := true; \\
 T := 0; & T := 1; \\
 \mathbf{await} \neg Q_1 \vee (T \neq 0); & \mathbf{await} \neg Q_0 \vee (T \neq 1); \\
 s_0 := acc; & s_1 := acc; \\
 acc := s_0 + 1; & acc := s_1 + 1; \\
 Q_0 := false; & Q_1 := false;
 \end{array} \right] \\
 \{acc = 2\}
 \end{array}$$

5. (20 %) Assuming that the leads-to operator in UNITY is defined without the disjunction rule, prove the following derived rule.

$$\frac{p \mapsto q \quad p' \mapsto q'}{p \vee p' \mapsto q \vee q'}$$